

USEITI Implementation Subcommittee
Wednesday, November 18, 2015
2:00pm – 3:30pm
Meeting Summary

Meeting Agenda

2:00 Welcome and Introductions
2:15 Online Advisory Workgroup – Report out
2:30 Independent Administrator (IA) Update
2:45 Process to move forward in 2016 – Proposed Decision Matrix Attached
3:00 End

The USEITI 2015 Executive Summary is completed and has been set for publishing.

Online Advisory Workgroup Update

The co-chairs need to select a lead for this Workgroup. Chris Mentasti will lead the group for now and this can be revisited at the December MSG Meeting.

The Online Advisory Workgroup has been meeting since October. The information architecture on the data portal has been designed and the narrative content has been finalized and added in. The revenue data type is currently being reviewed. The homepage design has been finalized and is also being reviewed. Most of the data portal design is mobile friendly. The explore data landing page is currently being worked on.

18F is doing a great job and the website is looking really good. There is a concern regarding the review process by the sectors, and some of the members expressed hoping to add more structure to the final review process. For now, all comments should be sent to members of the Advisory workgroup and not 18F. The process also needs to allow for time to reach back into the sector and get their thoughts. All comments submitted should be only for fatal flaws.

Chris will set up a meeting with the Advisory Workgroup to discuss how best to manage the process of comments coming in. Chris was also asked to give the co-chairs an update at the next Co-chairs meeting.

A few more questions were asked:

Q: Why is there still placeholder language on the website.

A: That will be coming soon.

Q: Will the Executive Summary be modified in any way once it is added to the website?

A: The Executive Summary will not be changed, but will be explicitly added to the website as it was approved by the MSG.

Is there additional language that will be added to the website that isn't in the Executive Summary? Is there any content that nobody has seen before that needs to be reviewed? Judy can't think of any narrative/sources on the website that hasn't been reviewed.

On Friday the group will meet with 18F to discuss fatal flaws, if there is anything that needs to be brought to the attention of the co-chairs, they will be meeting next Tuesday.

IA Update

All reporting templates were finalized and they have been working to finalize the excel file data that will be added to the website as a downloadable data set for the reconciliation.

The rest of the IA work has been wrapped up for the year.

Are we on track to post the final executive summary Dec 2nd? IA confirmed we are.

Decisions for 2016 Report

We have an MSG approved decision matrix and that has come out of the Implementation Subcommittee. There are some recommendations from the Gov sector and the 90% level is where we are supposed to go. Do we want to make a recommendation on re-looking at that decision for the validated report.

There are excise tax (black lung for coal) that we haven't included in the 2015 report. (Curtis reminded the group that it can't be disclosed) Need to check if we have determined all the material revenue streams. Really important to have a detailed discussion of the scope of the companies that should be included in the report and larger revenues might be generated in some of the resources (non-metal minerals such as crushed stone). Should also look at non-energy extractive sector. Hydro-electric sector. Some of this won't be in the scope of the DOI process, but it might be based on the size of the companies in the extractive sector whether or not they are paying revenues to DOI.

Keeping it focused but broadening it as needed after these first two reports. Might not publish that second report in a timely manner. We do have limited resources and would need to get additional people added to the MSG. Worried about process getting too broad and too resource intensive.

Should we stand up a working group at the next meeting to decide if there should be some recommendations to change scope.

There is also a recommendation to keep the first two report status quo and then making scope recommendations for the 3rd report

Could the IA proceed with the 90% if that needs to be revisited we decide that down the road?

The implementation Subcommittee can't make a recommendation, but the IA can give a report out at the MSG meeting then then we can have an MSG discussion on the recommendations.

Will talk about what opting in at the state level means at the next MSG meeting.

Next Steps:

Second Task Order with Deloitte begins – Dec 1, 2015

Next Subcommittee Meeting - Dec 2, 2015

MSG Meeting Materials Due – Dec 1, 2015

2015 USEITI Executive Summary Published – Dec 2, 2015

MSG Powerpoint presentations due to Kim Oliver – Dec 7, 2015

Next MSG Meeting – December 15-16

List of Attendees:

Aaron Padilla, API

AJ Maxwell, Deloitte
Chris Mentasti, DOI
Clare Ware, Eastern Shoshone & Northern Arapaho Tribes
Cory Gill, Goldwyn Global Strategies
Curtis Carlson, Treasury
Dan Dudis, Transparency-USA
Danielle Brian, POGO
Emily Kennedy, API
Greg Gould, DOI
Isabelle Brantley, Deloitte
Jennifer Goldblatt, DOI
Jennifer Heindl, DOI
Jim Steward, DOI
John Cassidy, Deloitte
Jonathan Fairburn, DOI
Judith Wilson, DOI
Keith Romig, United Steelworkers
Kim Oliver, DOI
Mia Steinle, POGO
Paul Bugala, Natural Resource Governance Institute